

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:50 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Michael Shaffran <mjshaffran@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 11:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Board of Supervisors:

I want to say this:

- I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.
- The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.
- I live in the City of SLO (Templeton) and support its division into three supervisorial districts. The City has ties to all parts of the county, providing jobs, shopping, and education for folks who live in other areas and are connected through our central location and major transportation systems. Those who assert otherwise generally don't live in the City. Don't marginalize us into a single district.
- While minor updates to the existing boundaries may be required, such changes should be minimal. Please reject the proposed "Patten map" and others that kettle the City of SLO into a single district.

Thank you!
Michael
Michael Shaffran

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:30 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 191

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:02 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 191

RedistrictingID 191
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:00:56 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:00:56 PM
First Name shanny
Last Name covey
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented ROBIN'S RESTAURANT
City Cambria
Zip 93428
Comment As a resident of Cambria I am opposed to any changes to redistricting Cambria to include Paso Robles. It makes better sense to leave Cambria with the neighboring coastal cities. Thank you
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:30 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Comments from Tim Rochte Family

From: Tim Rochte <trochte@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:08 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Comments from Tim Rochte Family

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please maintain the status quo.

NO CHANGES TO EXISTING SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS.

Thank you for including these comments in the decision-making process.

Sincerely,

The Tim Rochte Family
Los Osos, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:31 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]North Coast/Paso Robles

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve Delmartini <del@fix.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]North Coast/Paso Robles

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Board of Supervisors

Please leave the North Coast where, in my opinion, it belongs as it is currently. Considering combing it with Paso Robles in anyway at all, is like water and oil, it just doesn't mix. Thank you for your consideration. Please leave well enough alone.

Steve Delmartini

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:31 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:16 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

From: Patty Olear <patty_olear@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:12 PM
To: BOS_District 5_Web Contact <district5@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The Richard Patten map proves that if County Administrative recommendations are followed, it is possible to have all "Communities of Interest" together, whole, undivided and evenly distributed population throughout the county. I LIKE THIS MAP

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:31 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 192

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 192

RedistrictingID 192
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:19:22 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:19:22 PM
First Name Diane
Last Name Levison
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization No organization, just people who care about fairness!
Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93405
Comment It's not surprising that the three conservative supervisors don't care about fairness in order to ensure their re-election. But how wonderful it would be for them to finally act in favor of all those they represent. Isn't that what public servants are supposed to do?
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 193

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 193

RedistrictingID 193
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:20:15 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:20:15 PM
First Name Joseph
Last Name Barr
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428
Comment Please keep the costal communities in the present district 2. To preserve the coastal environment and control growth
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 194

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 194

RedistrictingID 194
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:21:17 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:21:17 PM
First Name Lorie
Last Name Noble
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization self
Represented
City Morro Bay
Zip 93442

Comment I support Richard Patten's citizen's map ID 74786. This map keeps SLO city together and CalPoly together (community of Interest). These communities should not be split into the other districts.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 195

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 195

RedistrictingID 195
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:21:54 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:21:54 PM
First Name James
Last Name Irving
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Paso Robles
Zip 93446

Comment Please do not extend District One over the mountains to include the Coast. The boundary as it is now should be retained. The City of San Luis Obispo should be in one unified district rather than split into 2 or 3.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:25 PM
To: Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>
Cc: outreach@ncacslo.org; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.pierson.usna@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Well done!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2021, at 2:38 PM, Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com> wrote:

Excellent letter!!
Mike Walsh

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Outreach@ncacslo.org <Outreach@ncacslo.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 1:02:07 PM
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; Aaron Linn <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.Pierson.USNA@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough

<mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>; Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Subject: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated), constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.


Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.

The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: Jim And Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:35 PM
To: Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Cc: Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; outreach@ncacslo.org; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.pierson.usna@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Excellent as always Brian. Saw Roberta today, and unfortunately is declining quickly. Didn't recognize me as her husband. Dementia is the worst!

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2021, at 5:24 PM, Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com> wrote:

Well done!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2021, at 2:38 PM, Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com> wrote:

Excellent letter!!
Mike Walsh

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Outreach@ncacslo.org <Outreach@ncacslo.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 1:02:07 PM
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; Aaron Linn <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.Pierson.USNA@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>;

Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chezfed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jjimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>; Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>

Subject: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated), constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.

Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.

The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council



<image001.jpg>

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Map

-----Original Message-----

From: Jerry Campagna <jdcampagna@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:40 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please leave the map the current positions. There is no problem with it. Thank you Dyann Campagna

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Radical Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Gail & David <gdmcbride@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:40 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Radical Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do the right thing and abandon consideration of altering the maps to achieve a political agenda! We need to continue to allow those on the coast with their specific interests to be fairly represented.

David McBride

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Claire Silver <Claire@tobinjames.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:44 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for your service .

I believe that we should leave the districts as they are now.

Wine agriculture areas should be grouped with other wine agriculture areas if lines need to be redrawn.

I do not think we have enough common interests to put Paso Robles together with the Coast and Cambria.

Cheers,

Claire Silver

TOBIN JAMES CELLARS



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 196

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:45 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 196

RedistrictingID 196
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:44:23 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:44:23 PM

First Name Margaret
Last Name R

Email 

Phone

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Santa Margarita
Zip 93453

Comment Many proposed maps include the city of SLO in several other districts, overtly violating the Citizen Redistricting Commission's criteria. If an A-D map is adopted, SLO will gain more representation than it already has, with its mayor & city council, and boast up to 3 supervisors. Has the BOS determined that SLO is more important than the other cities & towns in our county? My vote is to be represented fairly and by the standards of the Commission, best embodied by Richard Patten's map.

Public Records
Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 197

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:48 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 197

RedistrictingID 197
Form inserted 11/18/2021 5:47:19 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 5:47:19 PM
First Name Carol
Last Name Swain
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization n/a
Represented
City MORRO BAY
Zip 93442

Comment Please do not redraw the district maps. Reasons to keep the districts as currently drawn are: Communities of interest are currently being served. No separation of communities of interest has been identified. The city of San Luis Obispo citizens are not demanding to be thrown together. Our current supervisors know the background of the geographical areas and the citizens they represent.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]RE: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: slopatti@charter.net <slopatti@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:49 PM
To: 'Outreach@ncacslo.org' <Outreach@ncacslo.org>
Cc: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; 'Brian Glusovich' <glus@charter.net>; 'David Pierson' <David.Pierson.USNA@gmail.com>; 'Don Sather' <satherarchitect@charter.net>; 'Elizabeth Kendall' <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; 'Henry Krzciuk' <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; 'Iggy Fedoroff' <chefed@att.net>; 'Jamie MacLeod' <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; 'Jeff Kwasny' <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; 'Jim & Roberta Major' <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; 'Karen Chrisman' <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; 'Karen Dean' <KADean2018@outlook.com>; 'Kermit Johansson' <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; 'Kitty Connolly' <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; 'Michael Broadhurst' <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; 'Mike McDonough' <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; 'Mike Walsh' <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; 'Tala Romero' <talaromero37@yahoo.com>; 'Ted Key' <bxtream@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]RE: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Concise and great points! Hope it has an impact.
Patti

From: Outreach@ncacslo.org
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us
Cc: "Bruce Gibson", "Blake Fixler", "Aaron Linn", "Brian Glusovich", "David Pierson", "Don Sather", "Elizabeth Kendall", "Henry Krzciuk", "Iggy Fedoroff", "Jamie MacLeod", "Jeff Kwasny", "Jim & Roberta Major", "Karen Chrisman", "Karen Dean", "Kermit Johansson", "Kitty Connolly", "Michael Broadhurst", "Mike McDonough", "Mike Walsh", "Patti Rowe", "Tala Romero", "Ted Key"
Sent: Thursday November 18 2021 1:02:09PM
Subject: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated),

constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.

Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.


The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich

Chair, North Coast Advisory Council

[Redacted signature]



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment Redistricting - Bianchi

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:52 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment Redistricting - Bianchi

From: Mary Bianchi <mary.lee.bianchi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 4:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment Redistricting - Bianchi

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good afternoon:

I am a resident in District 5. I oppose the adoption of Plan D as proposed. I support Plan B as proposed.

Mary Bianchi
Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:36 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 198

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:17 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 198

RedistrictingID 198
Form inserted 11/18/2021 6:15:50 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 6:15:50 PM
First Name Cissie
Last Name Pace
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93421
Comment I have looked all the submitted maps, and the only one that makes sense and follows the rules is the citizen map submitted by Richard Patten. I urge you to adopt this map.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:36 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Bev Gularte Harben <bevharben@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:18 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County Board of Supervisors (especially my 4th District Supervisor, Lynn Compton),

How can you justify or rationalize voting for changing the county district boundaries? When deciding whether to vote for redrawing these boundaries, please listen to your constituents. There is no need to change to lines, unless you are blatantly looking for a path to an easier re-election. Most of us who live here already see through this partisan effort, and your vote just depends on whether you want to continue to fuel the right-wing quest for squelching democracy, for quieting voices that don't agree with you. If that is the case, how can you sleep at night?

Beverly Harben
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:38 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]No redistricting needed

From: yintao@earthlink.net <yintao@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:26 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No redistricting needed

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Cambria I am very concerned about the push to re-district in San Luis Obispo county. I oppose any redistricting plans that would cause Cambria to be merged with Paso Robles or other inland towns. We are not required to be re-districted and I think we should not entertain this purely for political reasons. Please scrap any redistricting plans.

Thank you.

Kathryn H Greene


Sent from EarthLink Mobile mail

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 199

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:32 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 199

RedistrictingID 199
Form inserted 11/18/2021 6:31:04 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 6:31:04 PM
First Name Susan
Last Name Robinson
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Paso Robles
Zip 93446

Comment We are strongly opposed to you changing the supervisor district boundaries. There have been only minor changes in the population of the county so legally it is NOT necessary to change the boundaries. You have ignored best practices and refused to appoint a NONPARTISAN citizens' panel to give you advice about the boundaries. Susan C. Robinson, MD Dave C Peterson

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 200

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:39 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 200

RedistrictingID 200
Form inserted 11/18/2021 6:38:49 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 6:38:49 PM
First Name Aimee
Last Name Wyatt
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization na
Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428

Comment Thank you for working to make adjustments, due to population changes in our county. I live and own a small business in Cambria and would like to see our current district remain the same, keeping Cambria represented with other coastal communities., including Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. At least for now, Status Quo is the way to go.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:40 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting: reject exclusionary motivations

From: Russell Hodin <hodin_ink@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:56 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting: reject exclusionary motivations

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Chairman Compton and Members of the Board
Board of Supervisors
County of San Luis Obispo, CA
18 November, 2021

re: redistricting

Please consider these comments when considering proposed maps and adjustments to district boundaries.

I strongly caution against wholesale changes in district boundaries as I sense that this will be perceived by the public as motivated by political self-interest and partisan concerns. I readily expect that such action will be challenged in court, and while it may be challenging for the county to defend against in the legal arena, it would be even more difficult if not impossible for the board itself to defend against in the public arena.

With the delayed census results and unprecedented tight timeline for review, public input, board discussion, with eventual certification of district boundaries, I feel that the county would not benefit from a further delay which may be occasioned by a legal challenge to the final map. Most of the proposed maps before you include wholesale boundary changes, and I fear that pursuing this direction will further open your board up to public criticism and accusations of favoritism, if not outright partisanship. Persistent perceptions of partisan decision-making have already seriously weakened your board's leadership standing. Please do not add to this unproductive trend.

Much has been made of the principle of keeping so-called communities of interest intact, but this term is ill-defined and is being used at every possible scale such that it translates to an arbitrary argument for exclusion based on perceived differences. As a 40+ year resident of the county, I've come to deeply appreciate our potential to develop a common identity based on shared values of land stewardship, a healthy environment, natural beauty in abundance, cultural diversity, quality educational opportunities, and a strong tradition of innovation. This is why I implore you to reject motivations of exclusion when drawing or adjusting district boundaries.

Please work towards keeping current district boundaries more or less intact, with minor adjustments as needed to correct discrepancies or past error .

Respectfully,
Russell Hodin



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:40 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting map of SLO City and CalPoly

From: Malak <sloannie@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:01 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting map of SLO City and CalPoly

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Is there a redistricting map showing how SLO City and CalPoly are divided into 3 districts that you can email me or provide an online link to view?

Annie Malak



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:41 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 201

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:04 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 201

RedistrictingID	201
Form inserted	11/18/2021 7:03:24 PM
Form updated	11/18/2021 7:03:24 PM
First Name	Carol
Last Name	Guesno
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	self
City	Morro Bay
Zip	93442
Comment	I strongly support the Richard Patten map ID74786 because it does not split up Cal Poly.
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:41 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 202

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 202

RedistrictingID 202

Form inserted 11/18/2021 7:11:21 PM

Form updated 11/18/2021 7:11:21 PM

First Name Leslie

Last Name Lee

Email [REDACTED]

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City CAMBRIA

Zip 93428

Comment With all due respect, Cambria is a unique coastal community and should be protected and represented as such. Please preserve our uniqueness as such by not redistricting our community with any other communities that are NOT coastal communities. It will be the end of true representation for the coastal communities in this region. We all moved here and live here because of the unique qualities of the central COAST. Thank you for your time.

Public Records
Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:42 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Guidelines

From: Charla Morgan <charlamorgan@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:48 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Guidelines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the district guidelines in effect! Charla Morgan, Cambria resident

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:42 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 203

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:52 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 203

RedistrictingID 203
Form inserted 11/18/2021 7:51:34 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 7:51:34 PM
First Name Ellen
Last Name Morrison
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented N/A
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93405

Comment
Members of the Board: Based on 2020 Census data, population changes in San Luis Obispo County have not changed enough since 2010 to warrant redrawing of the district lines. It is overwhelmingly clear that the conservative members of the Board are clawing to maintain their positions, at the expense of the people they are supposed to represent: ALL of the residents of San Luis Obsipo County. You are only inflaming partisan ill-will. Find your conscience. Keep the district lines as they are.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Freeman <tfreeman126@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:56 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I encourage you to go with either option A or B for our local redistricting.

Thomas Freeman



Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]no on redistricting

From: Diane Gularte <dmgularte46@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:57 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]no on redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Leave districts as they are.

I agree with former San Luis Obispo Police Chief Jim Gardiner and former Arroyo Grande Police Chief Rick TerBorch, as well as Jimmy Paulding.

No to change in district boundaries.

Diane Gularte

93420

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Terri Belford <terbelford@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:06 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please Keep current district guidelines in effect.
Terri Belford, long time Cambria resident

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting map

From: SHERRY WRIGHT <sadieteach@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:13 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; boardofsupes@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: [EXT]redistricting map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,
Politicians at all levels are increasingly viewed as playing only to the constituents of their party rather than all citizens they have been elected to represent. Please do not add to this impression by drastically redrawing the district map.

The district boundaries we have used for ten years are legal and serve us well. The only reason for making major changes, especially in the face of much opposition, would seem to be gerrymandering to gain political advantage. Political cynicism and polarization are rampant enough already. Don't add fuel to that fire.

Although I only elect the supervisor from my district, your collective decisions affect me and every other resident of this county. I call on you to represent all of us, including ensuring that voting for you is not manipulated but fair. Keep our current map or, if necessary, make the most minimal changes necessary.

Thank you,
Sherry Wright
Educator/county resident for 43 years

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

-----Original Message-----

From: Patrick Kerans <patrick.kerans@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:15 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a resident of SLO city and am opposed to any major changes made to our district map. I have lived here for 20 years and it's obvious the change in communities of interest remain as they were when I arrived. A Republican attempt to limit SLO city's voice by kettling SLO City into just one district to disenfranchise SLO voters will be illegal.

Patrick Kerans
SLO

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cambria must not be tied to a district so different from itself. Please choose Map A


From: glennemills@charter.net <glennemills@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Cambria must not be tied to a district so different from itself. Please choose Map A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To whom it may concern,

I strongly request that any action on proposed redistricting keep Cambria and its coastal neighbors together. Population alone is a poor determinant of boundaries. I strongly agree with the writings of local leaders that Cambria and its coastal neighbors like Cayucos are communities of shared interest and must remain in its current District 2 designation. Redistricting as proposed in Map C breaks up and dilutes communities of shared interest and needs. Please choose Map A to prevent linking Cambria to communities without shared commonalities. I believe Map A is indeed the best, even only, option to keep the North Coast communities together. Map C is deliberate gerrymandering and must be quashed. Again, please choose Map A.

Respectfully,
Glenn Mills



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair, Common Sense, Ethical Redistricting

From: Scott Jenkins <sjenkins111@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:39 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Fair, Common Sense, Ethical Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors, especially Supervisors Peschong, Arnold and Compton:

I agree with what Professor Michael Latner, in what he said to the New Time. He said the [right-wing] supervisors' choice of district maps would "bunch the city's mostly Democratic voters into fewer districts." "Any of the maps where you see radical changes from the existing districts are clearly attempts to pack more Democrats into districts and get more Republican seats,"

"According to Latner, any attempt to isolate SLO into a single district can only be construed as a political attempt to create a "sink" district: a district that holds a large number of Democratic voters, which in turn gives Republicans a countywide advantage."

"You're giving them that district so you can control the remaining districts," Latner said. "I'd argue you should probably have some piece of every district in SLO city. It is the county seat and the most populous city in the county."

Grouping Cambria and San Simeon together with Paso Robles makes no sense, except for giving these trumpist supervisors a republican advantage in our elections for the next decade. District 2 has been a coastal district, from Los Osos to San Simeon forever, and it should remain so.


We need fair redistricted districts that allow the voters to pick their supervisors, rather than have the supervisors pick their very own preferred voters. We should not have partisan gerrymandering be allowed in San Luis Obispo County.

We do not want any cheating by the right wing trumpist supervisors, who have shown their propensity for cheating, along with their continuing to push trump's Big Lie. Voters should be allowed to pick their Supervisors, not the other way around where these three supervisors pick their own voters.

Those Supervisors are engaged in election tampering, boarding on fascism over our democracy. With the minor changes in the Census number, from the last Census, The District Maps should not be changed, if we want fair and free elections.

Do the right thing, Supervisors. Do not change the District Maps for SLO County, for this next decade,.It is not necessary, unless you want to prove that you are gerrymandering these maps the next few elections, for your own benefit.

Thank you,

Scott Jenkins
San Luis Obispo CA


Maria G. Brown


From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:47 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cambria should remain in District 2.

From: Becky Moshier <bkmoshier@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:02 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; email@ncacslo.org
Subject: [EXT]Cambria should remain in District 2.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly object to Cambria being put into District 1 with Paso Robles. The two communities are very different and combining them is not in the best interest of those of us who live in Cambria. As a Bay Area transplant to Cambria two years ago, I do not want to see big city interests interfere with our small coastal town needs and priorities. Please do not move our lovely coastal town out of District 2.

Kind regards,
Becky Moshier



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:47 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 204

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 204

RedistrictingID 204
Form inserted 11/18/2021 9:20:23 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 9:20:23 PM
First Name Vicki
Last Name Carroll
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Atascadero
Zip 93423
Comment I see no reason to change the district lines. The census does not indicate a significant change and it seems that it will be best for all, and cost less money, to leave existing borders in place.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep Cambria

-----Original Message-----

From: Aileen Keeter <akeeter@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please keep Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Sheridan Govers <sheridanjewelry@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The County's redistricting consultant confirmed there there has not been growth in any of the districts which would necessitate substantial boundary changes.

So **Maps A or B are the only choices which are acceptable to me.**

Thank you,
Sheridan Govers

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

From: Pamela Grant <pamelagrants306@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:50 PM
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.


To whom it may concern:

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that Cambria can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Pamela Grant


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Keeping current district guidelines

-----Original Message-----

From: Patty Kumpe <pmkumpe@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:56 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Keeping current district guidelines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep current guideline in effect!

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: jon@jontronics.com <jon@jontronics.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:59 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Supervisors,

I am a citizen of Cambria.

I want you to keep the current Supervisor district lines unchanged.

There is no way that Paso Robles and Cambria should be grouped together as we have vastly different types of issues.

Losing a Supervisor's office that has years of experience with our issues will cause real harm to my community.

Questions:

1. How does moving Cambria from a coastal district to a non-coastal district improve either district for the citizens?
2. Should the people of Cambria decide what is best for Paso Robles?
3. Should the people of Paso Robles decide what is best for Cambria?
4. Should the voters pick their leaders or should the politicians pick their voters?

The answers are obvious.

Thank you,

Jon Marinez


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:49 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Wayne Hansen <wshansenjr@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:02 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors:

Respectfully, I urge the SLO County Board of Supervisors to adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. This choice takes into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and follows the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County's redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. I, along with Women's March SLO and the League of Women Voters, support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard and that our recommendation will be influential. Thank you!

- Wayne Hansen

SLO County Resident

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:49 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 205

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:08 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 205

RedistrictingID 205
Form inserted 11/18/2021 10:07:58 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 10:07:58 PM
First Name Sheridan
Last Name Govers
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Morro Bay
Zip 93442
Comment Maps A (or B) is the only map acceptable to me since the census showed there has not been enough growth in any of the districts to warrant substantial boundary changes. Any alternative to those 2 choices should not be considered!
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:49 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

From: Lori Caudill <mustangdem@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My name is Lori Caudill and I have lived in Los Osos since 1986 after growing up in San Luis Obispo, attending local schools and graduating from Cal Poly.

I think that there should be no significant changes in the district maps of San Luis Obispo County.

I have seen proposed maps that separate Los Osos from Morro Bay, Cayucos and Cambria. The North Coast is a community of interest and is connected contiguously. The 2020 census did not show a significant change in our county's population, therefore a map that makes drastic changes would not be appropriate and could be illegal, causing the process to be delayed and costly for our county. Please consider a cautious approach that would not disrupt our beautiful communities in San Luis Obispo County.

Sincerely,
Lori Caudill



Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:50 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 206

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 206

RedistrictingID 206
Form inserted 11/18/2021 10:37:06 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 10:37:06 PM
First Name Jose Luis
Last Name Sanchez
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization I am a Realtor in Cambria
Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428

Comment The coastal cities of San Simeon and Cambria should be part of the district that includes the other north coast cities from Los Osos to Cayucos where social interests, environmental factors and economic health have similar needs and obstacles. Anything else would cause a huge conflict of interest.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:50 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 11:03 PM
To: Jim And Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>
Cc: Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>; Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Outreach@ncacslo.org; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <david.pierson.usna@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chezfed@att.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2021, at 5:35 PM, Jim And Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net> wrote:

Crap, sorry all. That was meant for Brian alone.

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2021, at 5:24 PM, Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com> wrote:

Well done!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2021, at 2:38 PM, Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com> wrote:

Excellent letter!!
Mike Walsh

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Outreach@ncacslo.org <Outreach@ncacslo.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 1:02:07 PM
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; Aaron Linn <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.Pierson.USNA@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Michael Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>; Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Subject: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated), constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.

Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.

The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:50 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 207

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 11:09 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 207

RedistrictingID 207
Form inserted 11/18/2021 11:08:25 PM
Form updated 11/18/2021 11:08:25 PM
First Name Mary
Last Name Donnelly
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401

Comment To the SLO County supervisors, there is no legal requirement to change district boundaries. County citizens see through supervisors' efforts to radically change district boundaries with no nonpartisan advisory panel input as a cynical power grab. This must be stopped. We insist on having our voices heard. I agree with the two SLO conservative retired police chiefs in their 11/16/21 editorial in the Tribune. Retain current district lines for the stability and safety of our county!

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:52 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please no redistricting!

From: Sheri Kosh <sjkosh@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:05 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please no redistricting!


ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please don't make the districting worse! It doesn't make any sense at all to combine Cambria with Paso Robles!

Thank you

Sheri Kosh

Homeowner



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:52 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 208

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:29 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 208

RedistrictingID 208
Form inserted 11/19/2021 4:28:52 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 4:28:52 AM
First Name Frances
Last Name Meehan
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Los Osos
Zip 93402

Comment I support the Richard Patten map ID 74786 with regards to our County district lines because it does the best job of following the guidelines of AB849. It doesn't split up Templeton nor Cal Poly and his map puts Oceano and Grover Beach together. The current SLO County map must be corrected.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:52 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: dbmjmay@aol.com <dbmjmay@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 6:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

In reference to the maps of redistricting, which is not needed at all!! A or B is acceptable. This is our opinion and are disappointed this process is taking place and seems to be motivated by some political factor!

Thank you
Brenda and David May

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:55 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Laurel Vosburg <lv054@att.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 6:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I live in District 4. Please do not change the district boundaries substantially. The current boundaries have worked well for 10-20 years. They do not need to be changed at this time. North County Coast needs its own supervisor. So does North Coast inland. San Luis Obispo is so big compared to the rest of the county that it needs to be split up. And South County is fine the way it is. Please select one of the two plans that have only minor changes.
Thank you.

Laurel Vosburg

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:55 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County re Redistricting Proposed Maps

From: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County re Redistricting Proposed Maps

VICKI JANSSEN, Legislative Assistant
First District Supervisor John Peschong
1055 Monterey St., D430
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
(805)781-4491/Fax (805) 781-1350
vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us



COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

From: ahseastrand@aol.com <ahseastrand@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:13 PM
To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: ahseastrand_aol.com <ahseastrand@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County re Redistricting Proposed Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening Supervisor Peschong,

The members of the Board of Supervisors are presently considering the redistricting lines of the five supervisorial districts for the next 10 years. I respectfully request your support for the adoption of Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf

I reviewed the currently proposed SLO County Maps A, B and C being considered for redistricting, and none of them fully conform to rules set by the 2020 California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

The only map that does conform is Richard Patten's Rev.1 Citizens' Map which recognizes communities of interest that are geographically compact, undivided and populations evenly distributed throughout the County.

The City of San Luis Obispo is a single "community of interest," as well as Cal Poly which should logically be included within the City of San Luis Obispo. These two communities should not be divided, and should both remain within one supervisorial district. Might add, Cal Poly's socio-economic interests are tied strongly to the City of San Luis Obispo.


Again, I whole-heartedly support Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map. It adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and non-political.

Please vote to adopt Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Andrea Seastrand

Andrea Seastrand
Resident of San Luis Obispo County


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:55 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:01 AM
To: Outreach@ncacslo.org
Cc: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <David.Pierson.USNA@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Mike Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaramero37@yahoo.com>; Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Great letter. Thanks to all you busy people.

Beth

On Thu, Nov 18, 2021 at 1:02 PM <Outreach@ncacslo.org> wrote:

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated), constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.

Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.

The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich

Chair, North Coast Advisory Council



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:56 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 209

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 209

RedistrictingID 209
Form inserted 11/19/2021 7:20:13 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 7:20:13 AM
First Name Jeff
Last Name Grote
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization
Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401

Comment I am writing to urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Igor Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:39 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Brian Glusovich <brian.glusovich@gmail.com>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern on the Redistricting Commission,

My wife Suzanne and I have lived in Cambria since 1988 and have enjoyed excellent representation by Shirley Bianchi and then Bruce Gibson whose families have strong ties to and thus understand the important issues facing our community. The Redistricting cannot ignore the homogeneity of coastal community issues and it would therefore be appropriate to keep the supervisorial districts in the County essentially the same as they have been for decades.

Please choose Plan/Map A. Thank you.

Igor V. Fedoroff, Lt Colonel, USAF (Ret) President, Board of Directors Cambria Community Healthcare District Trustee, Cambria Community Healthcare District Trust Vice Chair and Recording Secretary, North Coast Advisory Council President and Treasurer, Joslyn Center Bridge Clubs Administrator, Cambria Pinedorado Lions Foundation Pediatric Dental Initiative



Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy J Govers <tjgovs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Maps A (or B) is the only map acceptable to me since the census showed there has not been enough growth in any of the districts to warrant substantial boundary changes. Any alternative to those 2 choices should not be considered!"

Dr. Tim Govers
Morro Bay

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 210

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:51 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 210

RedistrictingID 210
Form inserted 11/19/2021 7:50:07 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 7:50:07 AM

First Name Timothy
Last Name Govers

Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]

Name of Organization
Represented

City Morro Bay
Zip 93442

Comment Maps A (or B) is the only map acceptable to me since the census showed there has not been enough growth in any of the districts to warrant substantial boundary changes. Any alternative to those 2 choices should not be considered!"

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: srichardson528@charter.net <srichardson528@charter.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

TO: San Luis Obispo Supervisors


I am a resident of the City of San Luis Obispo, and a former resident of the coastal community of Los Osos.

Please retain the current district map. I am completely against any redistricting that separates the coastal communities of Los Osos, Cayucos, and Cambria.

I am satisfied with representation of the City of San Luis Obispo in the current district map.

Respectfully,

Susan Richardson



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

From: Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

Good morning.

Please see the below correspondence on redistricting, it has already been circulated to all Supervisors.

Blake Fixler
Legislative Assistant - District Two
San Luis Obispo County
805-781-4338
bfixler@co.slo.ca.us

From: Lea Brooks <leabrooks332@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:45 AM
To: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: Board Chair Compton and Supervisors Ortiz-Legg, Gibson, Peschong and Arnold
From: Lea Brooks, resident of the City of San Luis Obispo

I strongly support the Nov. 18, 2021, letter to Chair Compton from San Luis Obispo Mayor Eric A. Stewart regarding the county redistricting task before you. I quote the following from Mayor Stewart's letter to underscore my request to the Board of Supervisors:

"California law requires district maps to be based on the demographic and population data revealed by the latest census, while also placing the concept of "community of interest," as defined in the Elections Code (Far Maps Act) at the center of this public policy framework. That being said, the City of San Luis Obispo sees virtually no change in the U.S. census data, and thus sees no statutory or legal foundation for changing the voting district lines significantly. We note that Map A , as well as the County's expert consultant, reflects this observation."

I respectfully request that you do the right thing and not gerrymander the districts to benefit Republicans even though San Luis Obispo County has more registered Democrats.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:59 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Morgan Torell

Administrative Analyst

County Administrative Office

805-781-1599

1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

From: Linda Baker <baker.linda21@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors--

I live outside Atascadero city limits in the county. So you represent my interests directly.

The last census indicates there isn't enough population change in our county to warrant significant re-working of the existing district footprints. "If it's not broke, don't fix it." Any dramatic change now which is against the community of interest of any specific block of voters will clearly indicate your partisanship with malicious intent and will be contested in the courts.

Don't waste taxpayer time and money on litigation, create division and risk the ongoing ill will of the community. Those who have to manipulate a fair system in order to remain in power dishonor the process, the voters and themselves.

Win by the power of your ideas and the good you do for the community - ALL the community, not just your voters. After you're elected, we are ALL your constituents. If you have to cheat to stay in power, you don't deserve to be there.

Linda Baker



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:51 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: shanny covey

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: As a resident of Cambria for 45 years I am opposed to the plan to redistrict Cambria with Paso Robles. Leave Cambria with the coastal cities. It makes much more sense. Thanks

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 751670

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2808

Form inserted: 11/18/2021 5:02:14 PM

Form updated: 11/18/2021 5:02:14 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Bev Gularte Harben <bevharben@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County Board of Supervisors (especially my 4th District Supervisor, Lynn Compton),

How can you justify or rationalize voting for changing the county district boundaries? When deciding whether to vote for redrawing these boundaries, please listen to your constituents. There is no need to change to lines, unless you are blatantly looking for a path to an easier re-election. Most of us who live here already see through this partisan effort, and your vote just depends on whether you want to continue to fuel the right-wing quest for squelching democracy, for quieting voices that don't agree with you. If that is the case, how can you sleep at night?

Beverly Harben
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:02 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: David Juhnke <djuhnke@amblaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I am a long-time resident of the County who lived in Atascadero for six years and then moved to SLO where I have lived and owned a business for the past 30 years. I have served on many non-profit boards and have been deeply involved in the community, working on such issues as business and economic development (SLO Chamber, Economic Vitality Corporation, County Economic Strategy Steering Committee), youth (YMCA, SLO Rotary de Tolosa), land conservation (SLO County Land Conservancy), intimate partner violence (RISE, Lumina Alliance) and literacy (Literacy for Life).

I am writing today to ask for your thoughtful consideration of the issues relating to redistricting. I am quite concerned that some of the maps which are being proposed will adversely affect citizens and communities of interest throughout our County. While the census shows some population shift, there is nothing earth-shattering and certainly nothing that requires a dramatic modification of supervisorial districts like that proposed in some of the maps.

To the extent that adjustments are appropriate, I support the proposed **SLO County 2030** map which makes minor modifications to the current districts so as to maintain communities of interest (Cal Poly, North Coast, Santa Margarita/Garden Farms, Creston/Shandon, Oceano/Nipomo) in the same district. In addition, the map insures that each district contains a significant agricultural presence so that this cultural, historic and economic driver of our County is considered by every supervisor when making decisions regarding economic

development and land use (e.g., adjusting the Second District border eastward along Highway 46 and 41 to ensure that there is a substantial agricultural representation in that district). The **SLO County 2030** map also ensures that each district contains both urbanized, incorporated cities along with unincorporated communities, and it maintains Diablo Canyon and Oceano Dunes in separate districts so that they might remain a top priority for one supervisor.

In short, there is no reason to make a radical adjustment to the current supervisorial districts as is contemplated by some of the maps which have been submitted. To the extent that modifications are appropriate, the proposed **SLO County 2030** map is the best solution and I strongly encourage you to adopt it.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this matter, and for your service to the citizens of our County.

Best regards,
Dave Juhnke

David A. Juhnke, Esq.
Andre, Morris & Buttery,
A Professional Law Corporation



www.amblaw.com

Notice: The information contained in this transmission is confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify us by telephone at (805) 543-4171 and delete the transmission from your system. Thank you.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:03 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C3c3409c445034b4b7f6b08d9ab86c22d%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729417527921505%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=ttng6RLV4rUv4qt2Q1ycK11nHig2tDpaZn8oKwj%2FBo0%3D&reserve d=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: 9scot monaci <javadog1@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

>>> Dear Board of Supervisors:

>>>

>>> It is so important our current Board of Supervisors follow criteria for redistricting and choose to maintain our current districts. There is no reason to change our current districts. The way our county is currently districted meets the legal standards of redistricting: compactness, contiguity, equal population, preservation of existing political communities, partisan fairness, and racial fairness.

>>>

>>> Draft A is the most litigation-proof alternative. The County's consultant expert has confirmed that Draft Map A is TOTALLY COMPLIANT with redistricting requirements. Draft A is also the most economical option. Rather than spend money on biased redistricting, we could use the money to help offset the many losses entailed in SLO county during the pandemic.

>>>

>>> Additionally if we were to do the unnecessary changes, some of us would lose our opportunity to vote. We do not want to wait additional years to vote.

>>>

>>> It is obvious that in remapping District 4 partisan fairness would be denied. In the last election, Lynn Compton won by only 60 votes. This clearly indicates that District 4 is a balanced, competitive district. Current census data, traditional redistricting principles, and recently enacted statutory criteria regarding changing California supervisorial districts do not support a need to redraw the 4th District's current boundaries.

>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>> Scott Monasee

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:03 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: John Lindsay <jplindsay725@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This is a cogent, well-reasoned piece by two self-described conservative Republicans and former law enforcement officers.

I, along with many of my friends and neighbors, are concerned that SLO Country will follow other government officials across the United States in efforts to corrupt the electoral system with gerrymandering and the disengagement of thousands of voters. I assume all supervisors have read it.

Do not follow others into the abyss.

Redistricting SLO County is not necessary except in the minds of a few out for their own personal political gains.

A tyranny of the few will destroy a Democracy. Do not let this happen.

Two retired police chiefs warn against 'radical redrawing' of SLO County supervisor districts
<https://www.sanluisobispo.com/opinion/readers-opinion/article255837361.html>



John P. Lindsay



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:04 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO Supervisor meeting regarding redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO Supervisor meeting regarding redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Gail Westberg <gail.westberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO Supervisor meeting regarding redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County Supervisors:

I was very concerned to learn that you are considering redistricting SLO county. My husband and I have lived and raised our three children here in San Luis Obispo since 1977. We love living in this city and county and beg you to retain the current district lines. From everything I can learn, there is no reason to change the current lines when they are already fairly distributed. If you move forward with changing them I can only believe that this is a politically motivated change rather than for the good of our county and our democracy in general.

We appreciate the time and effort you give in serving our community and hope you will do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Gail Westberg
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: JONATHAN MCMAHON

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I live in SLO county and the current district lines do not meet the standards published by the board. The lines should be re-drawn according to the 'Richard' map which do meet the published standards.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 247460

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2809

Form inserted: 11/18/2021 7:25:48 PM

Form updated: 11/18/2021 7:25:48 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Guidelines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Guidelines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Charla Morgan <charlamorgan@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Guidelines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the district guidelines in effect! Charla Morgan, Cambria resident

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 211

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 211

RedistrictingID	211
Form inserted	11/19/2021 8:51:55 AM
Form updated	11/19/2021 8:51:55 AM
First Name	Margaret
Last Name	Perez-Sesser
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Cambria
Zip	93428
Comment	Please do not combine Cambria with Paso Robles district. We have completely different priorities and interests!
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: diane gularte <dmgularte46@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Leave districts as they are.

I agree with former San Luis Obispo Police Chief Jim Gardiner and former Arroyo Grande Police Chief Rick TerBorch, as well as Jimmy Paulding.

No to change in district boundaries.

Diane Gularte

93420

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:06 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: John Bradbury

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I agree with former Chiefs Jim Gardner and Rick TerBorch and many others, including myself as the former Chief in Grover Beach, leave the County Supervisory Districts as they currently are configured. The current districts meet current standards and do not need to be changed. The Supervisors have already made us look foolish with their stand on the County Recorders Office. Changing the Supervisory Districts boundaries to increase the current members chances of reelection only confirms thier desire to hang onto power at any cost

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 051566

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2810

Form inserted: 11/18/2021 8:06:46 PM

Form updated: 11/18/2021 8:06:46 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:07 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C91385a74abcd4da8351908d9ab875139%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729419927753108%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikl1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&msdata=IATBmilEoE%2BaICD5UhdTOznQOG%2BjZ0AnaEdkZvS%2BJe4%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Terri Belford <terrbelford@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep current district guidelines in effect.

Terri Belford

Long time Cambria full time resident and homeowner

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:07 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair, Common Sense, Ethical Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair, Common Sense, Ethical Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Scott Jenkins <sjenkins111@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 8:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Fair, Common Sense, Ethical Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors, especially Supervisors Peschong, Arnold and Compton:

I agree with what Professor Michael Latner, in what he said to the New Time. He said the [right-wing] supervisors' choice of district maps would "bunch the city's mostly Democratic voters into fewer districts." "Any of the maps where you see radical changes from the existing districts are clearly attempts to pack more Democrats into districts and get more Republican seats,"

"According to Latner, any attempt to isolate SLO into a single district can only be construed as a political attempt to create a "sink" district: a district that holds a large number of Democratic voters, which in turn gives Republicans a countywide advantage."

"You're giving them that district so you can control the remaining districts," Latner said. "I'd argue you should probably have some piece of every district in SLO city. It is the county seat and the most populous city in the county."

Grouping Cambria and San Simeon together with Paso Robles makes no sense, except for giving these trumpist supervisors a republican advantage in our elections for the next decade. District 2 has been a coastal district, from Los Osos to San Simeon forever, and it should remain so.

We need fair redistricted districts that allow the voters to pick their supervisors, rather than have the supervisors pick their very own preferred voters. We should not have partisan gerrymandering be allowed in San Luis Obispo County.

We do not want any cheating by the right wing tumpist supervisors, who have shown their propensity for cheating, along with their continuing to push trump's Big Lie. Voters should be allowed to pick their Supervisors, not the other way around where these three supervisors pick their own voters.

Those Supervisors are engaged in election tampering, boarding on fascism over our democracy. With the minor changes in the Census number, from the last Census, The District Maps should not be changed, if we want fair and free elections.

Do the right thing, Supervisors. Do not change the District Maps for SLO County, for this next decade,.It is not necessary, unless you want to prove that you are gerrymandering these maps the next few elections, for your own benefit.

Thank you,

Scott Jenkins
San Luis Obispo CA



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:07 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cambria should remain in District 2.

1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cambria should remain in District 2.

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Becky Moshier <bkmoshier@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:02 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; email@ncacslo.org
Subject: [EXT]Cambria should remain in District 2.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly object to Cambria being put into District 1 with Paso Robles. The two communities are very different and combining them is not in the best interest of those of us who live in Cambria. As a Bay Area transplant to Cambria two years ago, I do not want to see big city interests interfere with our small coastal town needs and priorities. Please do not move our lovely coastal town out of District 2.

Kind regards,
Becky Moshier



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:07 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Bill and Grenda Ernst <wgernst@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly urge you to adopt Map A or Map B as the county's district structure. Our county does not need significant changes in boundaries and Maps A or B will more than adequately meet our needs. Any extensive boundary changes would adversely affect the smooth and equitable operation of voting in our county.

Grenda Ernst


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:07 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cbb3786bcb15c4952eeb808d9ab8765c2%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729420303486307%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sddata=%2Ffaw%2BDFbY0UPVck4TJXE6DM5d4yeLGN1sG0HwEW8hg%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Eileen Goodman <eileen_goodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep current districts in effect; no changes.

Thank you.

Eileen Goodman

San Simeon Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: M. E. Howell <mhowell.9035@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am very concerned about protecting fair representation in San Luis Obispo County governance.

Regarding the vote you are about to take for redistricting, I write to urge you to adopt the position taken by the League of Women Voters (details attached*). At this time, Plan B is the fairest and best option to support the needs of our county in going forward in establishing a fair and honest district structure.

On behalf of myself, family, friends, colleagues and all of us in this county, I ask for your support.
Thank you.

Mary Howell
San Luis Obispo

*This is the League's position on redistricting in SLO County:

The League urges the Board of Supervisors to adopt district maps that:

1. Comply with the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act.
2. Follow a process that is fair and perceived to be fair.
3. Result in fair and balanced districts that do not favor or discriminate against any political party.
4. Avoid radical changes to district lines that might cause confusion or nurture distrust and/or apathy among voters.
5. Respect the communities of interest as voiced by those who share common social and economic interests.
6. Minimize disruption to the election cycle and the number of voters whose ability to vote in an election would be deferred or accelerated.
7. Place Cal Poly student housing in one supervisorial district.
8. Continue to have the City of San Luis Obispo represented by more than one supervisor given its size and importance as a government and major employer site and the negative impacts on communities of interest in other districts should the city become its own district.

Of the maps being considered currently, Plan B most closely accords with the League position.

In addition, we urge the Board to begin the process to establish an independent redistricting commission to manage redistricting going forward.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep current district guidelines in effect.

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep current district guidelines in effect.

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C6bc2f1de4d59416ff1be08d9ab87af0b%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729421506961609%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=9I3XYKqU0nFWuykXVMEwpVRLxbgkUquASvrHLA5egFY%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Aileen Keeter <akeeter@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please keep current district guidelines in effect.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Cambria does not belong in any district with Paso Robles or other dissimilar area.

Aileen Keeter



Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Pamela Grant <pamelagrants306@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:50 PM
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.


To whom it may concern:

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that Cambria can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Pamela Grant


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cd39cf1143a5f42c4d06208d9ab87bd7c%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729421762392073%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoIMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=0RAdb%2FxFrEH4YFcuGNy9r8Po5Is6G8Yk0ghMfM%2BcsXc%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Kate Tennant <katetee@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am unable to attend the hearing on redistricting scheduled for tomorrow, but I wanted to provide my input. I strongly oppose any radical change to district lines. I think any major change would be disruptive and costly to the county. As a resident of Los Osos, I am also concerned that several of the proposals would realign Los Osos with communities that do not share a common social and economic interest.

Katherine Tennant
katetee@sbcglobal.net

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Keeping current district guidelines

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Keeping current district guidelines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cf1d2e518aa35444c36b108d9ab87eba6%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729422517113837%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sd=1WapRawrCoclk1oLcMsulgbqc%2BBZMG1FNvgX7O%2B6MNg%3D&r=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Patty Kumpe <pmkumpe@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Keeping current district guidelines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep current district guidelines in effect!

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C227751e934464053fe5208d9ab87f3f2%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729422659220752%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sd=PD0lixT%2FCL9PPm5ERVKHDY01mwo6KrXYt5GbPdNoUYI%3D&res=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: jon@jontronics.com <jon@jontronics.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:59 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Supervisors,

I am a citizen of Cambria.

I want you to keep the current Supervisor district lines unchanged.

There is no way that Paso Robles and Cambria should be grouped together as we have vastly different types of issues.

Losing a Supervisor's office that has years of experience with our issues will cause real harm to my community.

Questions:

1. How does moving Cambria from a coastal district to a non-coastal district improve either district for the citizens?
2. Should the people of Cambria decide what is best for Paso Robles?
3. Should the people of Paso Robles decide what is best for Cambria?
4. Should the voters pick their leaders or should the politicians pick their voters?

The answers are obvious.

Thank you,

Jon Marinez



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep the current district guidelines in effect

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep the current district guidelines in effect

For your review. Thank you.


Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Craig Penner <craigpenner2@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please keep the current district guidelines in effect

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Cambria will be more accurately represented if we keep current district guidelines in effect.

Thank you,
Craig Penner



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Cncerns

From: Veronica Barry <ronniebarry@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Cncerns

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community. Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Veronica Barry

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fwd: Redistricting

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fwd: Redistricting

From: John Texeira <johnteixeira88@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 5:24 AM
To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Fwd: Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Slo County Supervisors,

I have carefully reviewed the State of California rules regarding the establishment of Supervisorial Districts. Section 21500 of the Elections Code and have noted that during the redistricting process 10 years ago Cal Poly was gerrymandered into District 5 which involved moving Cal Poly to the north of Cuesta grade. This move was in violation of rule 3 which states to the extent practicable the geographic integrity of a city shall be respected in a manner that minimizes division. Taking Cal Poly out of the city of San Luis Obispo at that time and placing it in the North County was a clear case of political gerrymandering. Now is the time to correct that atrocity.

Rule 1 indicates Population equality shall be based on the total population of the residents of the county as determined by the most recent Federal census information available.

In reviewing the District maps submitted it is apparent to me the District Map submitted by Mr. Richard Patten 11/3/21 is in compliance with California State rules governing establishment of Supervisorial Districts, the population numbers on this map are about as equally divided as is possible. I recommend the Supervisors adopt Mr. Patten's map for the redistricting of San Luis Obispo County in 2021.

Sincerely,

John Texeira

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 11:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Susan Detweiler

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I wish to express my strong disagreement with the Board's attempt at redistricting throughout San Luis Obispo County. I live on the North Coast which has next to nothing in common with North County. I continue to trust and strongly support Bruce Gibson as my representative county Supervisor. Following the Supervisors abhorrent action resulting in driving Tommy Gong (a competent, trustworthy, and dedicated public servant from office), I find myself deeply distrustful of the redistricting efforts afoot. I wonder about then possibility of a thinly veiled political power grab or worse, gerrymandering. I view these proposed actions as a direct threat to democracy and it is with serious concern that I urge you to leave well enough alone.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 326382

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2811

Form inserted: 11/18/2021 11:20:29 PM

Form updated: 11/18/2021 11:20:29 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]No redistricting!

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]No redistricting!


For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Sheri Kosh <sjkosh@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No redistricting!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please don't make the districting worse! It doesn't make any sense at all to combine Cambria with Paso Robles!

Thank you
Sheri Kosh
Homeowner


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

From: Lea Brooks <leabrooks332@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:45 AM
To: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: Board Chair Compton and Supervisors Ortiz-Legg, Gibson, Peschong and Arnold
From: Lea Brooks, resident of the City of San Luis Obispo

I strongly support the Nov. 18, 2021, letter to Chair Compton from San Luis Obispo Mayor Eric A. Stewart regarding the county redistricting task before you. I quote the following from Mayor Stewart's letter to underscore my request to the Board of Supervisors:

"California law requires district maps to be based on the demographic and population data revealed by the latest census, while also placing the concept of "community of interest," as defined in the Elections Code (Far Maps Act) at the center of this public policy framework. That being said, the City of San Luis Obispo sees virtually no change in the U.S. census data, and thus sees no statutory or legal foundation for changing the voting district lines significantly. We note that Map A , as well as the County's expert consultant, reflects this observation."

I respectfully request that you do the right thing and not gerrymander the districts to benefit Republicans even though San Luis Obispo County has more registered Democrats.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Lines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Bill & Peggi Charlesworth <bpcharlesworth@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board -
Please retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.
Thank you - Peggi Charlesworth

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Trudy Lindaman

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number [REDACTED]

Message: I believe that the supervisory district boundaries should remain unchanged except for minor adjustments.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 146374

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2812

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 7:58:00 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 7:58:00 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C3e40d5d3848945cfaaa408d9ab8856e0%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729424316365960%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=Q8TdS%2FOficNijB%2BHy0%2FZDoZm9l6KSV89laAKrUjq9eU%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Qualls <maryqualls@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

Please retain the current district boundaries. The current boundaries are fully compliant with election law. There is no legitimate need to change them.

Mary Qualls

San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Sent from my iT ete

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Tim F. Dossa <Tim.Dossa@glennburdette.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Chair Compton and the Board,

I am emailing you today to communicate my support for the proposed SLO County 2030 Map for redistricting. I believe this map incorporates feedback from a broad and balanced group of local leaders, meets the legal requirements, and makes logical sense as it relates to the geography and representative population of SLO County. It has been well thought-out and will serve SLO County well in the years to come.

I appreciate your consideration on this important decision.

Thank you.

Timothy F. Dossa, CPA
Business Consulting- Senior Manager

GLENN BURDETTE

████████████████████
████████████████████



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Comments - North Coast

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Comments - North Coast

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Erica Crawford <erica@morrochamber.org>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Comments - North Coast

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Chair Compton and SLO County Board of Supervisors,


The Morro Bay Chamber of Commerce counts 280 business members that provide over 3,000 local jobs.

Our organization supports redistricting options which keep communities of interest together, like the North Coast of which we are a part. The Chamber discourages redistricting options which divide economic regions, unincorporated communities, and incorporated cities.

Thank you,

--

Erica D. Crawford
President/CEO
Morro Bay Chamber



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]County redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Brittany Coates Bersbach <brittany.j.coates@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:22 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]County redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County supervisors,

I respectfully ask that you stop this unnecessary and anti-democratic redistricting effort immediately. When there is a significant population change that warrants re-evaluating district lines, I also ask that you commit to protecting voting rights by following the nationally recognized best practice of engaging a non-partisan redistricting committee to take on this work.

Sincerely,

Brittany Bersbach
Arroyo Grande resident

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District 2

From: Marvin Corne <cornemh44@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:02 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Marvin Corne

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:41 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Katherine L Keeney

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Re: Redistricting In the words of your own consultant, "The county doesn't technically need to change its supervisor district boundaries, as the populations haven't shifted beyond legally allowable limits," Chris Chaffee, Redistricting Partners chief business officer, told supervisors at the meeting. NO ON REDISTRICTING!

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 761112

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2813

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 8:40:19 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 8:40:19 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:03 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Linda White, Judith Bartels, Theresa Trew, Jay Mather

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: The four of us are not attending the November 19th redistricting meeting due to Covid Health concerns. We fervently want the existing boundaries to remain the same.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 346301

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2814

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 8:51:57 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 8:51:57 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Concerns

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Concerns

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Veronica Barry <ronniebarry@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Concerns

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Veronica Barry

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Trish Wittenstein <trishwitten@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a resident of District 5 in San Luis Obispo.

I believe that Plan B is the best possible of the 4 plans presented.

I also want to encourage the Board to establish an independent redistricting commission to handle this issue in the future.

Thank you,
Patricia Wittenstein

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Marvin Corne <cornemh44@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Marvin Corne

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING - INPUT - Arroyo Grande Resident

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING - INPUT - Arroyo Grande Resident

From: Jay Ohare <oharejd@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:05 AM
To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_District 5_Web Contact <district5@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING - INPUT - Arroyo Grande Resident

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Board of Supervisors:

The best map is Richard Patten's Map Rev_1. This is because it keeps "like" communities together (!!!) and keeps the cities intact. Further, it 1) keeps District 5 from reaching into SLO City and picking up Cal Poly; 2) keeps SLO city intact vs dividing among 3 different supervisors; and 3) doesn't split Templeton.

Thank you for considering my input.

R/VR/

--

Jay O'Hare
Arroyo Grande Resident

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District lines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: swj swjohnsonlaw.com <swj@swjohnsonlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My wife and I urge you to retain the current district lines--there is no need to change them other than political posturing.

Steve Johnson, Esq. and Liz Guho-Johnson, retired educator

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

From: Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:15 AM
To: Outreach@ncacslo.org
Cc: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; aaron_linnsfruitbin.com <aaron@linnsfruitbin.com>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; David Pierson <david.pierson.usna@gmail.com>; Don Sather <satherarchitect@charter.net>; Elizabeth Kendall <etuckerkendall@gmail.com>; Henry Krzciuk <hkrzciuk@gmail.com>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jeff Kwasny <jskwasny24@gmail.com>; Jim & Roberta Major <jimandroberta2@charter.net>; Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>; Kermit Johansson <terra_tree@yahoo.com>; Kitty Connolly <kitty@ffrpcambria.org>; Michael Broadhurst <bigmike93428@gmail.com>; Mike McDonough <mmcdonough@cambria-healthcare.org>; Mike Walsh <mike@oldwinegrower.com>; Patti Rowe <slopatti@charter.net>; Tala Romero <talaromero37@yahoo.com>; Ted Key <bxtream@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: North Coast Advisory Council recommendation for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Great letter!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2021, at 1:02 PM, Outreach@ncacslo.org wrote:

Dear Board of Supervisors:

At its regular monthly meeting last night, November 17th, the North Coast Advisory Council (NCAC) voted to recommend to the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors (BOS) that they adopt the draft Map A for the 5 supervisorial districts in SLO county, which keeps the boundaries of the districts largely as they now exist.

Cambria is currently in District 2, along with several other North Coast unincorporated areas, including San Simeon, Cayucos, and Los Osos. These communities, along with Morro Bay (which is incorporated), constitute a Community of Interest (COI) because of their similar concerns about coastal resources, including the proposed development of offshore energy and the existing and proposed marine sanctuaries, and our reliance on tourism for a major portion of our economy.

Keeping COI's together is an important principle in redistricting; therefore, it is fitting that Cambria remain in this COI and not be grouped with other, more urban, areas that do not share our particular concerns, which draft Map C would specifically do.

In addition, because the county's population since the last census in 2010 did not change sufficiently to warrant that district boundaries be redrawn, Map A is legally sufficient. This choice also preserves the compactness of the district.

The NCAC urges the Board of Supervisors to be mindful of these considerations during its redistricting deliberations and adopt Map A.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:28 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Kathie Asdel

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I am very concerned about the considered redistricting changes proposed by the Board. I support the adoption of Map A or B to maintain current boundaries. Thank you for your the consideration of my input.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 367478

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2815

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 9:18:39 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 9:18:39 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting plan

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:28 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting plan

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Mary Harlow <maryharlow50@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting plan

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We urge you to retain the current districts as drawn. If any changes are to be considered, this should be done by an independent nonpartisan group. Thank you.....Mary & Harry Harlow
Santa Margarita

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: constance gioia depiero

Your Email 



Message: This message is concerning the redistricting of Slo county. Please keep the map close to the current districting map. I do not approve of plan C. Thank you

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 809446

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2816

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 9:43:47 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 9:43:47 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 212

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 212

RedistrictingID 212
Form inserted 11/19/2021 9:47:45 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 9:47:45 AM
First Name constance
Last Name Depiero
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented 00003189400
City SAN LUIS OBISPO
Zip 93401

Comment This message is concerning the redistricting of Slo county. Please keep the map close to the current districting map. I do not approve of plan C. I do not approve of Patten's map. Thank you

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 213

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 213

RedistrictingID 213
Form inserted 11/19/2021 10:09:12 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 10:09:12 AM
First Name Jennifer
Last Name Langford
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Avila Beach
Zip 93424
Comment I do not approve of the proposed redistricting of Cayucos with Paso Robles. More than half the county is democrat and the proposed redistricting would under represent this population.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Claudeis Selby <cachipper@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

We live happily in Los Osos. We love our small coastal communities, who share a special lifestyle. We share many common things, such as economic concerns. This has nothing to do with the cities like Paso Robles. We strongly oppose redrawing the SLO County Supervisor district maps.

David and Claudeis Selby

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]THANK YOU!

From: Elizabeth Bettenhausen <elizabethbettenhausen@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]THANK YOU!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Redistricting Staff:

I send my 100% complimentary, respectful gratitude to you for your work on the 10 year redistricting of SLO County!

Your work provides an example of government providing an essential service to the public superbly. It helps people maintain trust in government. It would provide excellent material for 8th grade and high school civics classes, college courses in U.S. Government, and grad school seminars.

Your work, including your presentation to the Board of Supervisors right now, has clarity not accompanied by condescension. It has diagrams created successfully to explain how humans occupy this county, relevant to their participating in government. It respects the responses from the public, even those that stretched the limits of plausibility.

I could continue with words, but I will not take up more of your time that way. Instead, I send a photo to express my gratitude.



19 January 2021 Cambria State Marine Park

photo: Elizabeth Bettenhausen

Thank you for your work. Your service of the public and for our elected officials helps democracy thrive.

Elizabeth Bettenhausen, Ph.D.



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 214

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 214

RedistrictingID 214
Form inserted 11/19/2021 10:20:20 AM
Form updated 11/19/2021 10:20:20 AM
First Name Jaime
Last Name Avila
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93420
Comment It is obviously a power grab by the Board majority at this time. I object to Plan C. Leave well enough alone. The current districts fit our community of interest just fine.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]I support leaving the redistricting as it is. It is a waste of money

From: Mary Jane Alumbaugh <mjalumb@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:31 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]I support leaving the redistricting as it is. It is a waste of money

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

There is no need to re-district the county.. I am an Arroyo Grande Resident and concerned citizen. respectfully--mja

--

Mary Jane Alumbaugh, Ph.D.



This message is intended for the sole use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee you are hereby notified you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute to anyone the message or any information in the message. If you have received this in error please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete the message.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors Agenda

From: Maria G. Brown <magbrown@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors Agenda

Sincerely,

Maria Brown

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential

Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-5011 | Fax: (805) 781-5023

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)



From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:41 AM
To: ad.contactus <ad.contactus@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors Agenda

Topic: Board of Supervisors Agenda

Your Name: Sylvia Dodd

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Hearing: concerning SLO redistricting, I vote for no to redistricting.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 714484

AdminID: 388

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 8:39:59 AM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 8:39:59 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:57 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]THANK YOU!

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]THANK YOU!

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Elizabeth Bettenhausen <elizabethbettenhausen@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]THANK YOU!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Redistricting Staff:

I send my 100% complimentary, respectful gratitude to you for your work on the 10 year redistricting of SLO County!

Your work provides an example of government providing an essential service to the public superbly. It helps people maintain trust in government. It would provide excellent material for 8th grade and high school civics classes, college courses in U.S. Government, and grad school seminars.

Your work, including your presentation to the Board of Supervisors right now, has clarity not accompanied by condescension. It has diagrams created successfully to explain how humans occupy this county, relevant to their

participating in government. It respects the responses from the public, even those that stretched the limits of plausibility.

I could continue with words, but I will not take up more of your time that way. Instead, I send a photo to express my gratitude.



19 January 2021 Cambria State Marine Park

photo: Elizabeth Bettenhausen

Thank you for your work. Your service of the public and for our elected officials helps democracy thrive.

Elizabeth Bettenhausen, Ph.D.



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]No Redistricting!

From: Jami Fordyce <jamifordyce@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:50 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No Redistricting!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County BOS,

I am very concerned about the sanctity of fair elections in our county. I am watching with great interest and working with like-minded community members to demand that our BOS act in a non-partisan and transparent way while redistricting. I ask for the following:

1. Adopt Map A, with only minor changes.
2. Do not remove Oceano from District 4.
3. Commit to using an independent redistricting commission for future redistricting. Remove the temptation for Supervisors to gerrymander.
4. Do the right thing for fair County elections. Uphold the principles of democracy.

Sincerely,

Jami Fordyce
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jami Fordyce <jamifordyce@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:51 AM
To: Paul Fordyce <paulfordyce@sbcglobal.net>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Liz Fordyce <elizabethfordyce@sbcglobal.net>; Michelle Chariton <michelle.chariton@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Sent my letter in! Today is the last day.

On Thu, Nov 18, 2021 at 9:11 AM Paul Fordyce <paulfordyce@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

Dear SLO County BOS,

I am very concerned about the sanctity of fair elections in our county. I am watching with great interest and working with like-minded community members to demand that our BOS act in a non-partisan and transparent way while redistricting. I ask for the following:

1. Adopt Map A, with only minor changes.
2. Do not remove Oceano from District 4.
3. Commit to using an independent redistricting commission for future redistricting. Remove the temptation for Supervisors to gerrymander.
4. Do the right thing for fair County elections. Uphold the principles of democracy.

Sincerely,

Paul Fordyce
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please stay with the current district lines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please stay with the current district lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Lynn Manzella <lynnswanonmanzella@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:55 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please stay with the current district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing as you are probably voting about the proposed change of district lines in our County.

I urge you to keep the lines as they are because they currently comply with the applicable election laws and balance key factors including geography, population, party registration and communities of interest.

Thank you,

Lynn Manzella

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

-----Original Message-----

From: Neil Farrell <farrellneil64@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:18 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: SLO County Board of Supervisors


I wish to express my support for keeping the "status quo" in the matter of redistricting to the greatest extent possible. The way the districts are drawn now seems to serve the purpose of proper representation for all county residents pretty well.

I feel it is especially important to keep District 2 — San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos, the "North Coast" — together in one district, as they share so much, from a common desire to protect the ocean environment, to protecting the small-town quality of life these communities enjoy, and even the school systems they share. It would be a disservice to split them up.

The North Coast has little in common with the "North County" which has seen the most growth of the districts and has a prevailing attitude about growth that is incompatible with the feelings of all of the North Coast communities.

Your staff redistricting committee has reported that the population growth is small enough that no redrawing of district lines is necessary, and I urge you to follow this recommendation.

I also point to the new housing construction being done on Foothill Boulevard that will when completed in a year or so, add many new, potential voters to Dist. 2, and lessen the population changes from the 2020 Census.

Sincerely,
Neil Farrell
San Luis Obispo, Dist. 2


Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:26 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Linda Seeley <lindaseeley@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do the ethical thing. Do NOT adopt Map C or Map D. Adopt Map A or Map B. I don't need to tell you why. You already know.

Sincerely,
Linda Seeley
Los Osos

Maria G. Brown


From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting in SLO County

From: Mary Lee Becwar <maryleebecwar@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:23 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting in SLO County

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 19, 2021
San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors

I strongly oppose the proposal for Redistricting in our county!
Several of these proposed redrawn district maps do not adhere to the current requirements. Our existing district situation is well suited to the equal, compact communities of interest.
The status quo is by far the best option! The map which most closely resembles the current configuration is Map A, which I highly recommend!

Mary Lee Becwar




Virus-free. www.avast.com

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:26 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Michelle Chariton <michelle.chariton@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: michelle chariton <michelle.chariton@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County BOS,

I am very concerned about the sanctity of fair elections in our county. I am watching with great interest and working with like-minded community members to demand that our BOS act in a non-partisan and transparent way while redistricting. I ask for the following:

1. Adopt Map A, with only minor changes.
2. Do not remove Oceano from District 4.
3. Commit to using an independent redistricting commission for future redistricting. Remove the temptation for Supervisors to gerrymander.
4. Do the right thing for fair County elections. Uphold the principles of democracy.

Sincerely,

Michelle Chariton
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:33 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comment on District 2

From: david adams <1david@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:05 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comment on District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environment. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns in that regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

David Adams

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]do not change the districts

-----Original Message-----

From: Gayle Oksen <igocambria@charter.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:58 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]do not change the districts

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please DO NOT PUT THE COASTAL/ CAMBRIA DISTRICTS WITH THE PASO DISTRICT - A TERRIBLE IDEA, KEEP US AS WE ARE, Ilene Oksen and friends

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 215

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:09 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 215

RedistrictingID	215
Form inserted	11/19/2021 2:08:11 PM
Form updated	11/19/2021 2:08:11 PM
First Name	Julian
Last Name	Cano
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	none
City	Atascadero
Zip	93422
Comment	The maps are working fine as they are. No need to make any changes.
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Retain the Current SLO County District Lines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:19 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Retain the Current SLO County District Lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Judy Brown <judykrausbrown@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Retain the Current SLO County District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Members of the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

I am a homeowner in San Luis Obispo and have been so for more than 20 years. I attended this morning's Board of Supervisors meeting about redistricting maps for about two hours. I am writing this email in lieu of making a public comment at the meeting as I was not able to stay long enough to do so.

The 2020 census results show our population has not changed enough to legally require significant changes to the current supervisor map. **I urge you all to retain the current district lines** or to keep changes to a minimum, as in Plan A. The current lines are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

I heard many speakers this morning commenting in favor of the Richard Patten map, but I agree with the guy who got up and said: "Simply restating over and over again that the Patten map meets the requirements doesn't make it so." Many of those who spoke in favor of the Patten map insisted that the city of San Luis Obispo and Cal Poly have to be in one district. That argument seems very disingenuous to me as the vast majority of those speakers do not even live in San Luis Obispo and none of them are at all concerned about the impact their preferred Patten map would have on overall balance or on other communities of interest, for example residents of our northern coast. The reality is, keeping San Luis Obispo in one district is difficult to do while balancing other factors of compliance and fairness because my

community is the largest, population-wise, in the county. **Balance, fairness and of course compliance are the overall goals, not keeping the city of San Luis Obispo/CalPoly in one district. Gerrymandering behind a false facade of supposedly "protecting a community of interest" is still gerrymandering.**

The argument made by Lynn Compton that our districts were gerrymandered by a Democratic majority in 2011 doesn't make any sense either. If those maps had been egregiously gerrymandered in 2011, Lynn Compton's party would not hold the majority on the board at the present time. This is another disingenuous argument which it seems to me is being made as cover, ironically, for an attempt to gerrymander to the advantage of her own party.

The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration. Please retain the current district lines!

Sincerely,

Judy Brown



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comment on District 2

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comment on District 2

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: david adams <1davida@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comment on District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environment. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns in that regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

David Adams

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: David Drenick

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number [REDACTED]

Message: I object to the proposed changes to the existing districts, especially any change that breaks up District 2 communities from Baywood/Los Osos to Morro Bay to Cayucos to Cambria.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 002904

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2817

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 1:35:30 PM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 1:35:30 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:39 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: David Drenick

Your Email: 

Message: I oppose separating Cambria from District 2. The coastal communities share common interests. I ask that Map A be selected

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 496671

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2818

Form inserted: 11/19/2021 1:53:51 PM

Form updated: 11/19/2021 1:53:51 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:41 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting NO NO NO Cambria does not want to be with Paso Robles

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting NO NO NO Cambria does not want to be with Paso Robles

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Ca6e4c8ba25874c55bf5708d9abadb0d7%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729584757431229%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=SxZoyiTw%2BJfKLEAW2JppvOp74VAuIVs3C2NJ7CIMQMQ%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Gayle Oksen <igocambria@charter.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting NO NO NO Cambria does not want to be with Paso Robles

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I was born in Cambria and I love the town and all the coastal communities and I do not want to be with the wine country/Paso district.They have entirely different concerns.It would be very very unreasonable to put us together(wine and coast)in the same district.

And all my friends and neighbors feel the same way. Leave us as we are!!!!!!!!!!!!PLEASE,Ilene Oksen

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:41 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Mapping

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Mapping

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Haila Hafley-Kliver <haila.hafley.kliver@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Mapping

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to support your decision on either Map A or B for redistricting. I feel one of these options best supports the north coastal areas where I live. I do not believe that Mr. Patton's map plan is fair to all, and I hope, even though many talked about supporting it at the Board meeting today, Friday, November 19, that this plan will not be the one chosen.

I thank-you for considering those of us in the north coastal area.

Haila Hafley-Kliver, Los Osos, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:42 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C1302efb5c6f04541dc5408d9abadbbd6%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637729584954617029%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=7mwfDyhC3ISL7hYP85TIDqcFhxFvaGT2R2lxbW1LxNw%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: brynildsonk@gmail.com <brynildsonk@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Leave Districts "as is."

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 3:08 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Support the Richard Patten map ID74786

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:59 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Support the Richard Patten map ID74786

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Frances Meehan <fpmeehan@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 2:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Support the Richard Patten map ID74786

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Supervisors:

I am writing to support the Richard Patten map ID 74786 with regards to our County district lines. The current SLO County district lines DO NOT COMPLY with the criteria that districts shall be geographically contiguous and that to the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

In other words, SLO city should not be split up or have three supervisors and the Richard Patten map does the best job of following the guidelines of AB849. It doesn't split up Templeton nor Cal Poly and his map puts Oceano and Grover Beach together as they share the same water, fire, and sewer services.

The current SLO County map does not comply with the 849 guidelines criteria and must be corrected.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Frances Meehan

SLO County Resident
Los Osos, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:42 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]districting
Attachments: district.odt

From: Karen M. White <whitewrites@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]districting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This is a statement on redistricting I wish to be forwarded to the board of supervisors for the Friday workshop meeting. I am sorry it is so late. Karen M. White

**Thursday, Nov. 18,
2021**

To: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors

I am Karen M. White, long-time Halcyon resident and co-author of the application that made the Village of Halcyon (which is a part of the Oceano Community Services District) a federally-designated historic district. In behalf of myself and my neighbors, I am urging that supervisorial districts remain unchanged for the next 10 years. We see NO advantage to linking Halcyon to Grover Beach and Pismo Beach. The status-quo, linking us to the lower Cienega Valley, Los Berros zone and Nipomo, will keep the village attached to the open and agricultural land to the south and east. This will give additional protection to Halcyon's large tracts of ag land and also help preserve our rural setting. We see no reason to modify the district boundaries and support the views of the League of Women Voters and other local organizations that also urge supervisorial zones remain unchanged.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Board of Supervisors Meeting on 11-19-21
Attachments: Board of Supervisors Letter dated 11-18-21.pdf

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Board of Supervisors Meeting on 11-19-21

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: johncarsellawyer@aol.com <johncarsellawyer@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: BOS_District 5_Web Contact <district5@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Board of Supervisors Meeting on 11-19-21

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 19, 2021

Dear Chairperson Lynn Compton:

Please find attached the following: Letter to you dated 11-18-21.

Thank you

patricia



CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
P.O. BOX 781 • CAYUCOS, CA 93430

November 18, 2021

Please Reply to:
3285 Shearer Avenue
Cayucos, CA 92430
jcarse1@aol.com

via email to boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us

Chairperson Lynn Compton
San Luis Obispo, County Board of Supervisor

Re: REDISTRICTING
Board of Supervisors Special Meeting 11/19/2021

Dear Chairperson Compton and Supervisors:

On November 3, 2021, the supervisors: Cayucos Citizens' Advisory Counsel unaminously passed the following resolution:

“We urge the Board of Supervisors to refrain from redistricting and remain with the status quo, Map A. There is no legal mandate nor reason to make changes to the current boundaries - there have not been enough demographic shifts to warrant moving boundaries. The current district boundaries have continuity and support regional communities of interest, including the North Coast. Cayucos is a part of the North Coast and should remain in a North Coast district that includes all coastal communities from the Monterey border to Montana de Oro State Park. Any significant changes at this point, such as breaking apart the North Coast, are clearly for gerrymandering purposes. We call on the Board of Supervisors to reject such changes and approve Map A.”



Cayucos

CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
P.O. BOX 781 • CAYUCOS, CA 93430

I cannot stress how seriously Cayucans' do not want to have our district boundaries changed. We urge you to follow the will of the people and establish a Non-Partisan Commission to conduct the next redistricting and leave the Districts now as they are.

Lead us into healing and not division.

Respectfully,



JOHN M. CARSEL
President, CCAC

JMC:pp

cc: Supervisor Bruce Gibson (bgibson@slo.ca.us)
Supervisor John Peschong (jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us)
Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg (dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us)
Supervisor Debbie Arnold (district5@co.slo.ca.us)



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Redistricting
Attachments: [EXT]REDISTRICTING; [EXT]REDISTRICTING - INPUT - Arroyo Grande Resident

From: Caleb Mott <cmott@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:15 AM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting

Public Comment on Redistricting.

- **Caleb Mott**
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
(800) 834-4636 ext 4337
District4@co.slo.ca.us
1055 Monterey St D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
[Visit our Website](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Jay Ohare <oharejd@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:05 AM
To: John Peschong; Bruce Gibson; Dawn Ortiz-Legg; District 4; BOS_District 5_Web Contact
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING - INPUT - Arroyo Grande Resident

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Board of Supervisors:

The best map is Richard Patten's Map Rev_1. This is because it keeps "like" communities together (!!!) and keeps the cities intact. Further, it 1) keeps District 5 from reaching into SLO City and picking up Cal Poly; 2) keeps SLO city intact vs dividing among 3 different supervisors; and 3) doesn't split Templeton.

Thank you for considering my input.

R/VR/

--

Jay O'Hare
Arroyo Grande Resident

Maria G. Brown

From: Patty Olear <patty_olear@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Lynn Compton
Cc: District 4
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The Richard Patten map proves that if County Administrative recommendations are followed, it is possible to have all "Communities of Interest" together, whole, undivided and evenly distributed population throughout the county. I LIKE THIS.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Letter Re: Statewide Redistricting
Attachments: 2021-11-09 Letter Re Assembly Redistricting Visualization.pdf

From: Kristin Eriksson <keriksson@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Letter Re: Statewide Redistricting

From: Wade Horton <whorton@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:20 AM
To: T'Ana Christiansen <tchristiansen@co.slo.ca.us>; Kristin Eriksson <keriksson@co.slo.ca.us>; Morgan Torell <mtorell@co.slo.ca.us>; Annette Ramirez <aramirez@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Letter Re: Statewide Redistricting

Another letter

Wade Horton
County Administrative Officer
County of San Luis Obispo
805.781.5018
whorton@co.slo.ca.us


From: Kendra Reynolds <kreynolds@arroyogrande.org>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:41 AM
To: 'Council@morrobayca.gov' <Council@morrobayca.gov>; 'Council@prcity.com' <Council@prcity.com>; 'citycouncil@atascadero.org' <citycouncil@atascadero.org>; 'citycouncil@pismobeach.org' <citycouncil@pismobeach.org>; 'CouncilMembers@groverbeach.org' <CouncilMembers@groverbeach.org>; council_all_slacity.org <council_all@slacity.org>; Wade Horton <whorton@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Caren Ray-Russom <crayrussom@arroyogrande.org>; kbarneich_arroyogrande.org <kbarneich@arroyogrande.org>; Jimmy Paulding <jpaulding@arroyogrande.org>; Keith Storton <kstorton@arroyogrande.org>; Lan George <lgeorge@arroyogrande.org>; wmcdonald@arroyogrande.org; jmatson_arroyogrande.org <jmatson@arroyogrande.org>; Sarah Lansburgh <slansburgh@arroyogrande.org>
Subject: [EXT]Letter Re: Statewide Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good Morning,

Attached please find a letter from Mayor Caren Ray Russom on behalf of the City of Arroyo Grande regarding Statewide Redistricting.

Thank you,



Kendra Reynolds

Executive Secretary

Legislative and Information Services, City of Arroyo Grande



City Hall Business Hours: M-Th 8:00 am - 5:00 pm; **Closed Fridays**

The information contained in this email pertains to City business and is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient and you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email or phone and delete the message. Please note that email correspondence with the City of Arroyo Grande, along with attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt by law.



CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE CALIFORNIA

November 9, 2021

2020 California Citizens Redistricting Commission
c/o We Draw the Lines CA
721 Capitol Mall, Suite 260
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: The Visualization of Assembly Districts Impacting the City of Arroyo Grande

To Chair Le Mons,

On behalf of the Arroyo Grande City Council, I am writing to articulate the City's concerns with the Assembly District visualizations considered by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission (Commission) during the week beginning October 27, 2021. The district map visualizations currently being considered by the Commission would radically change the City's representation in the California Assembly. Currently, the residents of the City of Arroyo Grande are represented by Assembly District 35, which encompasses all of San Luis Obispo County plus areas of northern Santa Barbara County. This configuration honors the integrity of our community of interest, which includes what is known as the Five Cities region, representing the cities of Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach, and the communities of Oceano and Shell Beach. This area is known as the Five Cities not only because we share jurisdictional boundaries, but also because we share vital services to our communities, such as fire and emergency services provided through the Five Cities Fire Authority and homelessness services provided by the 5Cities Homeless Coalition. Moreover, the current Assembly District configuration sustains the shared interests of all of the jurisdictions within County of San Luis Obispo, as well as areas of northern Santa Barbara County, including the City of Santa Maria, which are tied to our region through shared water, human, and economic resources.

By contrast, the proposed new Assembly District would remove the City of Arroyo Grande from its shared representation with its adjacent cities and communities of Grover Beach, Oceano, and Pismo Beach and disregards our community's close connectivity with the Five Cities and South San Luis Obispo County region, as well as the remainder of its County representation. This development has the potential to complicate efforts to seek legislative support for regional projects and to collectively advocate for legislative solutions that impact our jurisdiction. For example, the cities of Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach are jointly developing a recycled water project, the Central Coast Blue Project, that will ensure sustainable water for the region and protect the precious groundwater resource that we all share within the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, which underlies the area from Pismo Beach down to Santa Maria. Significant grant funding is needed to ensure the success of this project, and redrawing district boundaries to separate Arroyo Grande from its partners will needlessly complicate advocacy efforts for this project. It is important for the City to retain the ability to jointly seek support from its Assembly representative on regional projects and needs, from infrastructure to community services that we share with our regional partners.

The City is aware of the amount of feedback to be received in the coming weeks about the statewide visualization, and that the Commission's task is incredibly complicated. But as the process occurs, please consider the importance of keeping Arroyo Grande a part of the Five Cities and South San Luis Obispo County region in all levels of State representation. The City deserves representatives selected by its community of interest, so that they may be responsive and understanding of our unique and shared needs.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Caren Ray Russom". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Caren Ray Russom
Mayor

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting map
Attachments: PastedGraphic-17.pdf

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Vicki Berghoff <vickiberghoff@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 10:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am one of many, many Cambrians who strongly believes that no new maps are necessary and that Map A best represents the community of interests of the coastal communities.

This is based on my reading of all pertinent information and conclusions that 1) the recent Census does NOT warrant redistricting based on population concerns when the legal basis of less than 10% deviation is met; further, the burden has not been met to substantiate a population unbalance if the current districts remain the same; 2) the issues relevant to coastal communities risk being misunderstood and not prioritized; 3) Paso Robles and Cambria are not contingent geographically and arguably share very little in common (economy, demographics, weather, political; 4) that political diversity will not be respected or voice of differing opinions heard. Take for instance the difference in Covid cases/deaths data and the fact that Paso Robles far exceeded Cambria in cases and deaths; lower mask compliance and lower vaccination rates.

I can not speak to the interests of the other communities but as a resident of Cambria, I oppose any efforts to combine Cambria with Paso Robles.

Vicki

Vicki Z. Berghoff, J.D., BCET

Board Certified Educational Therapist





ASSOCIATION OF
EDUCATIONAL
THERAPISTS

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:51 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred Frank <pff9@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:20 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Members of the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors:

I urge that you support the Map A or B redistricting proposals. They represent the least disruptive change and will serve the interests of all communities most effectively.

I have reviewed several of the proposed maps and found only maps A and B satisfactory since the others appear to reflect partisan bias. Since the members of the Board are elected on a nonpartisan basis their district boundaries should be equally nonpartisan.

While it is recognized that many factors such as population change, ethnicity, economic conditions, geographic connection and others must be considered the current district boundaries seem to be fair. Your board should not deviate from that long treaded path.

Thank you for your consideration,

Franklin Frank

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:51 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Districting

Morgan Torell
Administrative Analyst
County Administrative Office
805-781-1599
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Website | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

-----Original Message-----

From: Gail Thiesen-Kolander <gail@imprint-solutions.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Districting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

keep current districting in place.

Gail and Russ Kolander

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: slonaneki@yahoo.com <slonaneki@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 8:43 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to choose Map Plan A

Thank you, Nancy Holman

Arroyo Grande 93420



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]RE: Letters to NCAC redistricting
Attachments: Emails to NCAC re SLO County Redistricting, no.2.pdf

From: Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:50 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: 'Karen Chrisman' <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; 'Don Sather' <landuse@ncacslo.org>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; 'Iggy Fedoroff' <chefed@att.net>; 'Jamie MacLeod' <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; 'Karen Dean' <KADean2018@outlook.com>
Subject: [EXT]RE: Letters to NCAC redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Attached is the second set of emails we've received from residents of Cambria and San Simeon on redistricting. Again, we think these should be considered as "community of interest" comments. Thank you again.

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council
408.206.7390



From: Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 4:20 PM
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us
Cc: Karen Chrisman <karenchrisman@sbcglobal.net>; Don Sather <landuse@ncacslo.org>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; Brian Glusovich <glus@charter.net>; Iggy Fedoroff <chefed@att.net>; Jamie MacLeod <jamie_macleod@sbcglobal.net>; Karen Dean <KADean2018@outlook.com>
Subject: Letters to NCAC redistricting

The North Coast Advisory Council is forwarding these emails, which we have received from residents of Cambria and San Simeon regarding the current redistricting study. As you can see from the content, these should be considered as "community of interest" comments, rather than general public comments. Thank you for your consideration, and your efforts in this critical project.

Brian Glusovich
Chair, North Coast Advisory Council
[REDACTED]



Emails to NCAC re SLO County Redistricting, 2nd Set

david adams <1davida@gmail.com>

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

David Adams

Veronica Barry <ronniebarry@msn.com>

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a north county District 1 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Veronica Barry

Sheri Kosh <sjkosh@gmail.com>

Please don't make the districting worse! It doesn't make any sense at all to combine Cambria with Paso Robles!

Thank you

Sheri Kosh

Homeowner

260 Leighton St, Cambria, CA 93428

Craig Penner <craigpenner2@gmail.com>

Cambria will be more accurately represented if we keep current district guidelines in effect.

Thank you,

Craig Penner

1810 Saint Thomas Ave

Cambria

Patty Kumpe <pmkumpe@hotmail.com>

Please keep current district guidelines in effect!!

Sent from my iPad

Becky Moshier <bkmoshier@yahoo.com>

I strongly object to Cambria being put into District 1 with Paso Robles. The two communities are very different and combining them is not in the best interest of those of us who live in Cambria. As a Bay Area transplant to Cambria two years ago, I do not want to see big city interests interfere with our small coastal town needs and priorities. Please do not move our lovely coastal town out of District 2.

Kind regards,

Becky Moshier

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

I strongly request that any action on proposed redistricting keep Cambria and its coastal neighbors together. Population alone is a poor determinant of boundaries. I strongly agree with the writings of local leaders that Cambria and its coastal neighbors like Cayucos are communities of shared interest and must remain in its current District 2 designation. Redistricting as proposed in Map C breaks up and dilutes communities of shared interest and needs. Please choose Map A to prevent linking Cambria to communities without shared commonalities. I believe Map A is indeed the best, even only, option to keep the North Coast communities together. Map C is deliberate gerrymandering and must be quashed. I am a voter with a memory and will take note of the Board of Supervisors actions.

Again, please choose Map A.

Respectfully,

Loris Mills

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

I strongly request that any action on proposed redistricting keep Cambria and its coastal neighbors together. Population alone is a poor determinant of boundaries. I strongly agree with the writings of local leaders that Cambria and its coastal neighbors like Cayucos

are communities of shared interest and must remain in its current District 2 designation. Redistricting as proposed in Map C breaks up and dilutes communities of shared interest and needs. Please choose Map A to prevent linking Cambria to communities without shared commonalities. I believe Map A is indeed the best, even only, option to keep the North Coast communities together. Map C is deliberate gerrymandering and must be quashed. I am a voter with a memory and will take note of the Board of Supervisors actions.

Again, please choose Map A.

Respectfully,

Glenn Mills

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Please Keep current district guidelines in effect.

Terri Belford

Long time full time resident and homeowner

Charla Morgan <charlamorgan@sbcglobal.net>

Please keep the district guidelines in effect! Charla Morgan, Cambria resident

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 216

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 5:40 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 216

RedistrictingID	216
Form inserted	11/19/2021 5:40:08 PM
Form updated	11/19/2021 5:40:08 PM
First Name	Sheryl
Last Name	Meyer
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Morro Bay
Zip	93442
Comment	I support redistricting Map A
Public Records Notice	True