Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 7:24 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 32

Form inserted 10/26/2021 7:23:21 AM Form updated 10/26/2021 7:23:21 AM

First Name Carl

Nelson **Email** carldenise80@gmail.com

(805) 439-1430 Phone

Name of Organization Represented

Last Name

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

We greatly respect the importance of rational redistricting in all levels of government.

We strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to focus on the professionally drafted Comment

district options presented by Redistricting Partners. Plans A and B seem the most

reasonable to us. Thank you.

Public Records

Notice

[EXT]Redistricting

linda miner < linda-miner@att.net>

Tue 10/26/2021 8:35 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I want an independent board to redistrict our County, not Board of Directors for County. Thank you
Linda Jean Miner
Resident of District 4

Sent from my iPhone

FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>

Tue 10/26/2021 8:36 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Brown
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-5011 | Fax: (805) 781-5023
Website | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

----Original Message----

From: David Pearson <dpearson1600@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 6:11 PM

To: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to vote no on proposition C, placing Cambria in District 1. First of all it doesn't make sense to me to put Cambria, being in a housing moratorium, in a district with Paso Robles where housing development continues. Second, Cambria has more in common with the District 2 towns such as size, economies and eco systems. Third, the fire danger in Cambria is such an issue that insurance companies have been canceling the policies of homeowners and making it difficult for them to purchase new policies. If camping is allowed on private property in District 1 Cambrians would surely loose their insurance and possible their homes.

Thank you for your consideration, David Pearson

[EXT] Public Comment/No Redistricing

Linda Mayer <adnileel8@yahoo.com>

Tue 10/26/2021 8:37 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

I am adamantly opposed to any proposed redistricting that would put me and my community in a Republican represented district. **NO** on redistricting. Leave the boundaries where they are. Redrawing them is nothing but a power grab.

Linda Mayer Cambria CA

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 8:43 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 33

Form inserted 10/26/2021 8:42:47 AM Form updated 10/26/2021 8:42:47 AM

First Name Linda Last Name Mayer

Email adnileel8@yahoo.com

Phone (805) 927-4073

Name of Organization Represented

City Cambria Zip 93428

NO on redistricting. I am adamantly opposed to redrawing the boundaries and

Comment changing my representative to someone I did not vote for. NO on redistricting. Keep

the boundaries where they are.

Public Records

Notice

True

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 8:53 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 34

Form inserted 10/26/2021 8:53:06 AM Form updated 10/26/2021 8:53:06 AM

First Name Ronald Last Name Harsh

Email ronn.harsh@yahoo.com

Phone (805) 927-4073

Name of Organization Represented

City Cambria Zip 93428

Comment Please do not change/redistrict Cambria.

Public Records Notice True
Security code 684813

[EXT]No on SLO county redistricting

Scott DiSalvo < disalvosm@gmail.com>

Tue 10/26/2021 8:54 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern:

We have been residents of San Luis Obispo County for more than ten years and would to state our firm opposition to the redistricting proposal for our county that is currently in the works. We have reviewed the current district boundaries and ethnicity/population numbers provided by SLO County. There's a great saying that definitely applies here, "if it's not broken, there no need to fix it." Putting elected officials in charge of drawing their own districts, especially during the reelection campaigns of some of those officials, creates an incentive for those officials to draw districts that are favorable either to them or to their political allies. This sometimes leads to districts that are purposefully drawn to enhance the influence of certain groups and/or to reduce the influence of other groups - for example racial and ethnic minorities or members of a favored political party. This is simply referred to as "gerrymandering." We believe that the current SLO County Supervisorial districts are working well for "all" of the residents of SLO County now. Let's stop this blatant attempt at partisan gerrymandering in it's tracks!

Respectfully,

Scott and Heidi DiSalvo

Nipomo Mesa

[EXT]Redistributing

Frank Butz < frank1nancy2@gmail.com>

Tue 10/26/2021 9:38 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am opposed to moving Cambria to the same district as Paso Robles. We do not have anything in common with Paso Robles. This is not a good idea. I am a 43year resident of Cambria. Please do not move Cambria to the same district as Paso Robles.

Thank you,

Nancy L. Butz

Sent from my iPad

[EXT]Redistricting public comment

Lyman M. Evans < lmevans13@mac.com>

Tue 10/26/2021 10:40 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Whose idea is draft plan C??? Cambria and Paso Robles are two different climates, different histories, totally different life styles, residential issues, residential makeup, water issues, fire dangers, traffic issues, on and on. Cambria is much better suited to staying in the County District with San Simeon, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. All very similar communities under the guidance of the Coastal Commission, supported by tourism and nestled into the coastal side of the Santa Lucia Mountain range with the same climates, water and waste challenges, fire dangers, histories, life styles, residential issues, residential makeup etc etc.

It would would make no sense to separate these five villages from one another and would put Cambria in a very untenable situation regards its issues and the way forward in the 21st century.

Thank you for your attention.

L.M. Evans 805-441-6616 Cambria, Ca. 93428

[EXT]Suggested COI map for redistricting

Kristin Tara Horowitz <maculated@gmail.com>

Tue 10/26/2021 11:45 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Apologies for being late to the party on the commenting on this - but the tools you all have developed have improved so much that it made it VERY easy to comment this round with a suggested map.

https://districtr.org/plan/70348

The rationale for this map spans my 20 plus years of living on the central coast as a student, event planner, business person and recreator. I live a bit of a diverse life with diverse hobbies and have spent a fair amount of time in all corners of SLO countyd doing everything from getting lost in the wilderness to visiting friend's ranches for stockdog training to attending concerts to doing business in every corner of the county - so I feel that my input on this might be valuable:

Yellow/coastal - I live in Los Osos and as a resident, I feel that the concerns and interests absolutely align along the coast - our intrusion/water issues, our tourism concerns, economies, and largely unincorporated and unimproved areas feel quite uniform. It also makes sense to me that one person represents the waters as a matter of interest, but I could see the south county region I drew taking that area in order to diversify representation. The mountains (along 41 and 46) have always been coastal to me in that people tend to flow down to the coast to get things done and head east for what is not available otherwise. Plus, those corridors provide a bit more tourist traffic than some might allow for, making it more of the tourism/coastal concerns.

Blue/Five Cities - Absolutely its own little region, a little bit more sprawl to its character while also a little more urban in a way altogether different from the city of SLO. There's some farm and ag, but its issues reflect more urban that truly rural if that makes sense - services can respond quickly, the culture remains similar in that area, though each town has distinct flavor.

Turquoise - city of SLO, pretty self explanatory. Its got it's own little "city" feel compared to all the rest of the area, and the most population. The natural borders are within the mountain ranges

Green - North County, but surrounding Paso - Paso is growing into a tourism center in its own right but is largely defined by its expansive land surrounding it as well. It makes sense that it covers this region but doesn't extend to the coast because the coastal communities simply don't align with the Paso/rural culture as much as they do with one another. I did not link Atascadero here because of Paso's stronger urban/commercial/tourism development and housing plans pushing it more urban over time.

Pink - East/Rural county - SLO county really is dominated less by its cities than by its rural spaces, even if many don't truly see it that way, which for me means that the less populated areas are represented by those who understand those needs - agriculture, less access to public defenders, and a much different culture than the more urban centers.

Thanks, hope this is helpful!

Kristin Tara Horowitz (She/They - why this is important) Click to book an appointment with me! 805 748 1478

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 2:00 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 35

Form inserted 10/26/2021 1:59:43 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 1:59:43 PM

First Name Tobie
Last Name Charles

Email tobiecharles@hotmail.com

Phone (805) 709-7976

Name of

Organization County Resident

Represented

City Arroyo Grande

Zip 93420

Supervisorial districts must not favor or discriminate against any political party. Any attempt by this board to exclude Oceano from District 4 would be presumed to be in violation of the California Elections Code based on the fact that, in 2018, the district 4

Comment

supervisor lost the vote in Oceano, an election that was won by 60 votes. The residents of San Luis Obispo County have spoken, and if this board is listening to us

and is truly our voice, we are asking you to adopt Plan-A.

Public Records

Notice

True

[EXT]Public Comment

MADELINE PALASZEWSKI <palaszewskifam@sbcglobal.net>

Tue 10/26/2021 2:06 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge the board of supervisors to keep the district boundaries as close to what they are now. There hasn't been a large change in population and the current districts meet the criteria listed on the county's redistricting page. Please maintain the current boundaries which fairly represent all the citizens of San Luis Obispo County.

Thank you.

Madeline Palaszewski Los Osos

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 3:05 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 36

Form inserted 10/26/2021 3:05:03 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 3:05:03 PM

First Name Mary Last Name Nixon

Email Marynixon1@yahoo.com

Phone (805) 203-5107

Name of Organization Represented

City Cambria Zip 93428

Plan is a not a good idea for Cambria and the other small coastal communities. The

Comment voice and interests of Cambria become very diluted when combined with the second largest city in the county that is not on the coast. It would dominate the interests of

the proposed district and essentially silence the voice of Cambria.

Public Records

Notice

True

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 3:42 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 37

Form inserted 10/26/2021 3:41:30 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 3:41:30 PM

First Name Kimberly Gravell Last Name

Email casagravell@gmail.com

Phone (805) 219-0026

Name of Organization Represented

City Nipomo Zip 93444

> Why are you carving out Cambria and San Simeon from a district that represents costal communities? What happened to keeping communities of interest together?

Comment The fair maps act calls for district line drawers to be respectful of populations or

communities that have common "needs and interests". Clearly this "carve out" of

Cambria and San Simeon goes against that requirement.

Public Records True

Notice

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Tue 10/26/2021 3:43 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 38

Form inserted 10/26/2021 3:42:36 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 3:42:36 PM

First Name Patrice
Last Name Rowe

Email slopatti@charter.net

Phone (805) 927-4050

Name of Organization Represented

City Cambria Zip 93428

NO re Cambria to Dist1. Only commonality is being N SLO Cnty. Needs are so different. With small Cambria, likely Cnty Sup elections and proposals would favor interior N. Cnty areas interests. Cambria, being a part of the MBNMS and Coastal Commission regulations? So different. Our current supervisor has championed and

knows the area intimately. Any successor should come from the current district

boundaries. We'd lose that.

Public Records

Notice

Comment

True

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Tue 10/26/2021 6:08 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 39

Form inserted 10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM

First Name William Last Name Gerlach

Email william.gerlach@gmail.com

Phone (559) 901-4642

Name of Organization Represented Resident City Cambria Zip 93428

Comment Recommend no change in districting.

Public Records Notice True
Security code 443861

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Wed 10/27/2021 10:06 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 40

Form inserted 10/27/2021 10:05:13 AM Form updated 10/27/2021 10:05:13 AM

First Name Fay

Last Name Johnson

Email fay_johnson@charter.net

Phone (805) 423-2005

Name of Organization Represented

City Atascadero

Zip 93422

I have lived in Atas for 44 yrs on acreage. We are a unique community over the grade. It doesn't make sense to include any of part of San Luis Obispo or Cal Poly in our district. Our concerns are very different than those of SLO. I recommend Richard

Patton's map after revisions are made to bring it to compliance with the regulations. Please put your political beliefs aside and make the right decision for the residents of

the north county. Thank You very much, Fay Johnson

Public Records .

Notice

Comment

True

FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>

Wed 10/27/2021 10:38 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

For your information. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Brown
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-5011 | Fax: (805) 781-5023
Website | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

----Original Message----

From: David Pearson <dpearson1600@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 6:11 PM

To: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to vote no on proposition C, placing Cambria in District 1. First of all it doesn't make sense to me to put Cambria, being in a housing moratorium, in a district with Paso Robles where housing development continues. Second, Cambria has more in common with the District 2 towns such as size, economies and eco systems. Third, the fire danger in Cambria is such an issue that insurance companies have been canceling the policies of homeowners and making it difficult for them to purchase new policies. If camping is allowed on private property in District 1 Cambrians would surely loose their insurance and possible their homes.

Thank you for your consideration, David Pearson

[EXT]Public Comment

Linda Robertson <smallspender99@gmail.com>

Wed 10/27/2021 1:26 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I attended the October 26 board meeting, to learn more about the redistricting options for our districts. The staff, and particularly the presenter from Redistricting Partners, were great, and I feel I learned quite a bit. But during the public comment period, it became obvious to me that many of the commenters were part of an organized campaign to promote a particular map, the so-called Richard Patten map. Looking at that map, it appears designed to gerrymander the county politically, isolating San Luis Obispo and Morro Bay into one supervisorial district, and diluting the votes of the northern coastal communities by combining them with right-leaning north county areas. Some of the comments, by obliquely referencing the Coastal Commission, suggest that this map may be related to, and possibly underwritten by, Central Valley interests who oppose the Coastal Commission closing of Ocean Dunes to trucks and off-road vehicles.

I live in San Luis Obispo. I strongly oppose the adoption of the Richard Patten map or anything resembling it, and I'd like to help disabuse the board of any idea that the campaign we saw reflects any kind of popular groundswell favoring that map.

Although I don't feel I know enough at this point to have a clear recommendation on which map to follow, I'm inclined at the moment to favor Redistricting Partners' map B. I understand the need for and benefits of splitting San Luis Obispo into more than one district, and it also seems to me that unifying Cal Poly, rather than splitting it, and keeping the north coast communities together, seem logical and fair. On the downside, placing part of SLO into District 5 seems to combine that part of the city with non-coastal and north county areas whose interests may be considerably different from ours.

I look forward to following further discussions of the maps.

Linda Robertson

San Luis Obispo, 93401

(415) 385-8387

--

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?

<u>url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.avast.com%2Fantivirus&data=04%7C01%7Credistricting%40co.slo.ca.us%7C6</u>929024019d74e47026508d999881229%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C1%7C637709631971256910%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=VfE58ubll2zCaQg16Iq%2BpSfylop%2FzYRwRY4QmTQedGU%3D&a

<u>mp;reserved=0</u>

Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Tue 10/26/2021 6:08 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >

RedistrictingID 39

Form inserted 10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM Form updated 10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM

First Name William Last Name Gerlach

Email william.gerlach@gmail.com

Phone (559) 901-4642

Name of Organization Represented Resident City Cambria Zip 93428

Comment Recommend no change in districting.

Public Records Notice True
Security code 443861